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Abstract—A method for construction of polar subcodes with reduced error coefficient is presented. The proposed approach relies on explicit enumeration of low-weight non-zero codewords in a polar code, and construction of dynamic freezing constraints which define a subcode not containing most of these codewords. The obtained codes provide a large performance gain in the high-SNR region compared to non-optimized polar subcodes and polar codes with CRC.

Index Terms—Polar codes, polar subcodes, weight distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polar codes are a novel class of capacity-achieving codes, having low-complexity construction, encoding and decoding algorithms [1]. Classical polar codes have rather low minimum distance, and the successive cancellation (SC) decoding algorithm is highly suboptimal for finite length codes. Therefore, improved code constructions were suggested, such as polar codes with CRC [2], [3] and polar subcodes [4], [5], together with improved decoding algorithms [2], [6]. These constructions were shown to provide excellent performance for block length up to a few thousands bits, although for longer block length they are outperformed by LDPC codes. As a result, polar codes were adopted in 5G for use in the control channel only [7], [8].

Very high scaling exponent of Arıkan’s polar codes [9] does not allow them to compete well at large block length with other modern code constructions, such as LDPC codes. Polar codes with large kernels [10] were shown to asymptotically achieve optimal scaling exponent [11]. In general, the decoding complexity of such codes is very high. However, low-complexity decoding algorithms were derived for some specific kernels [12], [13], [14]. Together with the successive cancellation list (SCL) decoding method, these techniques allow decoding with much lower complexity compared to codes based on the Arıkan’s kernel with the same performance.

Next generation machine-to-machine communication requires very low block error rate (e.g. less than $10^{-5}$). This requirement must be taken into account in the design of the corresponding error correcting codes. Unfortunately, there are still no rigorous theoretical tools for performance evaluation of the SCL decoding algorithm. Simulations suggest that the performance of polar codes and their improved versions under SCL decoding depends both on their SC and ML decoding error probability. Increasing list size allows the performance of the SCL decoder to approach the performance of the ML decoder. At high SNR, the ML decoding error probability depends on the number of low weight non-zero (LWNZ) codewords in the code and, in particular, its error coefficient. It was shown in [15] that the scaling exponent, which is known to be quite high for Arıkan’s polar codes, does not improve when adding a finite list to the MAP decoder. This means that the construction of polar codes needs to be modified somehow to obtain better performance.

In this paper we present a method for construction of polar subcodes with reduced error coefficient, and show that the obtained codes provide better performance under SCL and sequential decoding compared to polar codes with CRC, randomized and algebraic polar subcodes. The main results of the paper include:

- Analysis of minimum distance of polar codes with generic kernels (Theorem 1).
- Analysis of the impact of type-B dynamic frozen symbols on the minimum distance of polar codes (Theorem 2).
- Extension of the construction of randomized polar subcodes to arbitrary kernels.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents an overview of polar codes and polar subcodes. The proposed code construction method is derived in Section III. Simulation results are presented in Section IV.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Channel polarization

Let $F_l$ be an invertible $l \times l$ matrix not permutation-equivalent to an upper triangular matrix. $(n = l^m, k)$ polar code with kernel $F_l$ is a set of vectors $c = c_0^{n-1} = u_0^{n-1} A$, where $A = F_{l}^{\otimes m}$, $u_i = 0, i \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \subset [n]$ is the set of frozen symbol indices (frozen set), $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n - 1\}$. Let $U_i$, $C_i$, $Y_i$ be random variables corresponding to input values of the polarizing transformation, channel input and output symbols, respectively. Matrix $A$ together with a binary-input memoryless channel $W(Y|C)$ gives rise to synthetic bit subchannels

$$W_m(i) (Y_0^{n-1}, U_0^{n-1} | U_i) = \sum_{U_{i+1}^{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}_2^{n-1-i}} W(Y_0^{n-1} | U_0^{n-1} A),$$

where $W(Y_0^{n-1} | C_0^{n-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} W(Y_j | C_j)$.

The capacities $C_i$ of these subchannels converge with $m \to \infty$ to 0 or 1, and the fraction of almost noiseless subchannels converges to the capacity $C$ of the original channel $W$ [10].

Efficient techniques for evaluation of the reliabilities of these subchannels were suggested in [16], [17] for the case
of the Arıkan’s kernel \( F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \), and in [18], [19], [20] for larger kernels. For a classical polar code, the frozen set \( \mathcal{F} \) is selected as the set of indices \( i \) of \( n - k \) least reliable bit subchannels \( W_{\{i\}}^{(m)} \), where \( k \) is code dimension. That is, assuming that \( r_j \) is the sequence of distinct integers, such that \( C_{r_0} \leq C_{r_1} \leq \cdots \leq C_{r_{n-1}} \), the frozen set is constructed as \( \mathcal{F} = \{r_0, \ldots, r_{n-k-1}\} \).

The SC decoding error probability of a polar code of rate \( R < C \) satisfies \( 2^{-n \beta^d} \leq P_{\text{SC}} \leq 2^{-n \beta'} \) for sufficiently large \( n \) and any \( \beta, \beta' : \beta < E(F_1) < \beta' \), where

\[
E(F_1) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \log D_i
\]

is the rate of polarization of kernel \( F_1 \), and \( D_i \) is the \( i \)-th partial distance of \( F_1 \), i.e. the minimum distance between the \( i \)-th row of \( F_1 \) and the space generated by rows \( i+1, \ldots, l-1 \) [10].

Several kernels of different dimensions can be combined in the construction of a polarizing transformation [21], i.e. it can be defined as

\[
A = F_{l_0} \otimes F_{l_1} \otimes \cdots F_{l_{m-1}}.
\]

This results in a polar code of length \( n = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} l_i \). Rate of polarization for such transformation can be computed from \( E(F_{l_i}) \) as described in [22].

### B. Low-weight codewords of polar codes with Arıkan’s kernel

Polar codes with Arıkan’s kernel were shown to be instances of decreasing monomial codes [23]. That is, their codewords are given by evaluation vectors of Zhegalkin polynomials of certain type. Recall, that Zhegalkin polynomial is a polynomial in variables \( x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1} \) over the integers modulo 2 [24].

Indeed, the rows of the Arıkan matrix \( F_2^{\otimes m} \) can be considered as evaluation vectors of some Zhegalkin monomials in variables \( x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1} \) in all points of \( \mathbb{F}_2 \).

#### Example 1. For \( m = 3 \), the Arıkan polarizing matrix is given by

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0^{r_1}x_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1^{r_2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & x_0^{r_1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Assuming that \( \mathcal{F} = \{0, 1, 2\} \), one obtains that any codeword \( c = u_0^{n-1} A \) of (8, 5) polar code is given by a vector of values of some polynomial

\[
u(X) = u(x_0, x_1, x_2) = u_7 + u_6 x_0 + u_5 x_1 + u_4 x_0 x_1 + u_3 x_2
\]
in points \( (1, 1, 1), \ldots, (0, 0, 0) \).

The frozen set \( \mathcal{F} \) for Arıkan polar code is known to satisfy the following partial order constraints [25], [23]. For any \( i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} i_j 2^j \notin \mathcal{F} \), where \( i_j \in \{0, 1\} \), one has

\[
i_s = 0 \Rightarrow (i + 2^s) \notin \mathcal{F}, 0 \leq s < m; \quad (1)
i_s = 0, i_t = 1, s > t \Rightarrow (i + 2^s - 2^t) \notin \mathcal{F}. \quad (2)
\]

This was used in [26] to derive an algorithm for construction of polar codes with sublinear complexity.

Given a polynomial \( u(x_0^{m-1}) \) corresponding to a codeword of a polar code, define \( v(x_0^{m-1}) = u(Bx_0^{m-1} + b) \), where \( B \) is some non-singular \( m \times m \) matrix, and \( b \in \mathbb{F}_2^m \). The evaluation vector of \( v(x_0^{m-1}) \) is obtained by permuting the evaluation vector of \( u(x_0^{m-1}) \). However, \( v(x_0^{m-1}) \) does not necessarily correspond to a valid codeword of the considered polar code.

It was shown in [23] that the automorphism group of a polar code includes lower triangular affine group \( LTA(m, 2) \), i.e. \( v(x_0^{m-1}) \) is guaranteed to correspond to a valid codeword if \( B \) is an arbitrary \( m \times m \) lower-triangular matrix with 1’s on the diagonal, and \( b \) is an arbitrary binary vector.

Furthermore, all distinct weight-\( d \) codewords of an Arıkan polar code with minimum distance \( d = 2^r \), where \( r = \min_{i \in \mathcal{F}} \text{wt}(i) \), can be obtained as evaluation vectors of polynomials \( g_i(Bx_0^{m-1} + b), i \in \{0, \ldots, 2^m - 1\} \setminus \mathcal{F} \), where matrices \( B \) satisfy

\[
B_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t \notin \text{ind}(g_i) \cup j \in \text{ind}(g_i),
\]

and vectors \( b \) are such that \( t \notin \text{ind}(g_i) \Rightarrow b_t = 0 \). Here \( \text{wt}(i) \) denotes the number of non-zero bits in the base-2 expansion of integer \( i \), \( g_i(x_0^{m-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} x_0^{1-i_j} \) are the monomials corresponding to the rows of Arıkan matrix \( F_2^{\otimes m} \) of weight \( d \), and \( \text{ind}(g) = \{ t : x_t|g \} \) for some monomial \( g = g_s(x_0^{m-1}) \). This implies that any unfrozen symbol \( i \), such that \( \text{wt}(i) = r \), induces a number of weight-\( 2^r \) codewords, such that \( u_i = 1, u_j = 0, j < i \).

The total number of such codewords, i.e. the error coefficient of the polar code, is given by [23]

\[
w_d = 2^{m-r} \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus \mathcal{F}} 2^{\mid \lambda_i \mid}, \quad (3)
\]

where \( \lambda_i = \text{ind}(g_i) \) is the list of indices of zero bits in integer \( i \), and

\[
\mid \{i_0, \ldots, i_{m-r-1}\} \mid = \sum_{j=0}^{m-r-1} (i_j - j).
\]

### C. Polar subcodes

Classical polar codes exhibit quite poor finite-length performance due to their low minimum distance. Substantially better performance can be obtained by employing polar subcodes [4], [27]. The construction of polar subcodes relies on dynamic frozen symbols, i.e. input symbols of a polarizing transformation, which are given by

\[
u_{j_i} = \sum_{s < j_i} V_{i,s} u_s, i \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (4)
\]

where \( V \) is a \((n - k) \times n \) constraint matrix, such that the last non-zero elements of its rows are located in distinct columns \( j_i, 0 \leq i < n - k \). The constraint matrix can be obtained either from a check matrix of an algebraic code (e.g. extended BCH) with sufficiently large minimum distance, or constructed by a pragmatic randomized algorithm [5], so that most of the low-weight codewords of the original polar code do not satisfy (4), and are therefore eliminated from the obtained polar subcode.
The constraint matrix of a classical polar code consists of \( n - k \) rows of weight 1, where 1’s are located in columns corresponding to frozen symbols.

Decoding of polar subcodes can be implemented by the SCL or sequential algorithms \([2], [6], [28]\).

D. Generalized concatenated codes

A generalized concatenated code (GCC) \([29], [30]\) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is defined using a family of nested inner \((n, k_i, d_i)\) codes \( C^{(i)} : C^{(0)} \supset C^{(1)} \supset \cdots \supset C^{(n-1)} \), and a family of outer \( (N, K_i, D_i) \) codes \( C^{(i)} \), where the \( i \)-th outer code is defined over \( \mathbb{F}_{q^{k_i}}, \mathbb{F}_{q^{k_i+1}}, 0 \leq i < n \). In this paper we assume that \( k_i = k_{i+1} + 1, \nu = n \). Let \( G \) be an \( n \times n \) matrix, such that its rows \( i, \ldots, n-1 \) generate code \( C^{(i)} \). GCC encoding is performed as follows. First, partition a data vector into \( n \) blocks of size \( K_i, 0 \leq i < n \). Second, encode these blocks with codes \( C^{(i)} \) to obtain codewords \( (\hat{c}_{i,0}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{i,N-1}) \). Finally, multiply vectors \( (\hat{c}_{i,j}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{i,j-1}), 0 \leq j < N \), by \( G \) to obtain a GCC codeword \((c_{0,0}, \ldots, c_{0,1}, c_{1,0}, \ldots, c_{n-1,1})\). This process is illustrated in Figure 1.

A GCC generator matrix can be obtained as

\[
G = \left( \begin{array}{c}
G^{(0)} \otimes G_{0,-} \\
G^{(1)} \otimes G_{1,-} \\
\vdots \\
G^{(n-1)} \otimes G_{n-1,-}
\end{array} \right),
\]

where \( G^{(i)} \) is a generator matrix of \( C^{(i)} \), and \( G_{i,-} \) denotes the \( i \)-th row of \( G \). It is possible to show that this encoding method results in a \((Nn, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_i, \geq \min_i d_i D_i)\) linear block code.

III. POLAR SUBCODES WITH REDUCED ERROR COEFFICIENT

The error probability of the Tal-Vardy list decoding algorithm for polar (sub)codes is given by

\[
P(L) = P_{ML} + P(\mathcal{E}(L)|\mathcal{C}),
\]

where \( P_{ML} \) is the maximum likelihood decoding error probability of the considered code, \( \mathcal{C} \) is the event corresponding to the maximum likelihood decoder producing the correct codeword \( u_0^{-1}A_m \), and \( \mathcal{E}(L) \) is the event corresponding to the score of the correct vector becoming lower than the scores of \( L \) incorrect vectors \( u_0^{-1}A_m \) at some intermediate phase, so that the correct vector is killed by the decoder. The value of \( P_{ML} \) can be estimated via the union bound as

\[
P_{ML} \leq \sum_{i=d}^{n} w_i Q\left(\sqrt{2 \frac{E_s}{N_0}}\right) = \sum_{i=d}^{n} w_i Q\left(\sqrt{2 i R \frac{E_b}{N_0}}\right),
\]

where \( w_i \) is the number of codewords of weight \( i \). At high SNR it depends primarily on \( d \), minimum distance of the code, and \( w_d \), the error coefficient. To the best of author knowledge, there are still no techniques for computing \( P(\mathcal{E}(L)|\mathcal{C}) \). However, experiments show that this quantity increases with \( P_{SC} \), the error probability of the successive cancellation decoder. Hence, obtaining a code with good performance under SCL decoding requires both \( P_{SC} \) and \( P_{ML} \) to be sufficiently small.

In what follows, we propose a method for construction of a subcode of a polar code, such that most of the low-weight codewords, which appear in the original polar code, are not included into the polar subcode. At the same time, we aim to keep the successive cancellation decoding error probability of the obtained code as low as possible.

A. Low-weight codewords of polar codes

In this section we characterize the information vectors of LWNZ codes of polar codes obtained from a large class of kernels. Essentially, we show that, for a sufficiently general class of kernels, any such codeword is generated by at least one low-weight row of the polarizing matrix \( A \).

**Theorem 1.** Consider an \((n, k)\) polar code \( C \) given by a polarizing transformation \( A = F_{k_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{k_{m-1}} \) and the set of frozen symbol indices \( F \), where \( F_{k_i} \) is an \( l_i \)-dimensional kernel, such that its partial distances \( D_{i,j} \) satisfy

\[
D_{i,j} = \text{wt}((F_{k_i})_j), 0 \leq j < l_i, 0 \leq i < m,
\]

\[
n = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} l_i, \quad \text{and} \quad (F_{k_i})_j \text{ is the } j\text{-th row of } F_{k_i}. \quad \text{Then:}
\]

1) The minimum distance of the polar code is \( d = \min_{s \in F} \text{wt}(A_s) \), where \( A_s \) is the \( s \)-th row of matrix \( A \), \( 0 \leq s < n \).

2) Any codeword \( c = u_0^{n-1}A \) of weight \( d \), where \( d \) is the minimum distance, has \( u_s = 1 \) for some \( s : \text{wt}(A_s) = d \).

**Proof:**

It is sufficient to consider the case of \( D_{i,j} \leq D_{i,j+1}, 0 \leq j < l_i - 1 \), since otherwise the corresponding rows of \( F_{k_i} \) can be swapped \([10, Proposition 15]\) together with appropriate modification of the set \( F \), so that the code remains the same. In this case \( D_{i,j} \) is the minimum distance of the code generated by rows \( j, \ldots, l_i - 1 \) of \( F_{k_i} \).

Both statements for \( m = 1 \) follow from (6). Assume that the theorem holds for some \( A = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{m-1} F_{k_i} \) with \( m \geq 1 \), and consider the polarizing transformation \( A' = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{m} F_{k_i} \). Then \( \text{wt}(A_{k_{m+1}}) = \text{wt}(A_i) \text{wt}((F_{k_i})_j) \), where \( j \in [l_m], t \in [n] \). Consider a polar code with polarizing transformation \( A' \) and the frozen set \( F \). It can be considered as a GCC with outer \((l_m, K_t, D_t)\) codes \( C^{(t)} \) and inner \((n, k_t, d_t)\) codes \( C^{(t)} \), where \( C^{(t)} \) is generated by \( F_{k_{m+1}} : t l_m + j \notin F \), and \( C^{(t)} \) is a polar code with polarizing transformation \( A' \) and the set of frozen symbol indices \( F^{(t)} = \{ r \forall j : 0 \leq j < l_m : l_m r + j \in F, t \leq r < n \} \).

Fig. 1: Generalized concatenated encoder
Then the minimum distance of the GCC is \( d = \max_{j \in \mathcal{P}} \text{wt}(A_j) \), where \( d_t = \min_{j \notin \mathcal{F}(i)} \text{wt}(A_j) \), and \( d_t = \max_{j \notin \mathcal{F}(i)} \text{wt}(A_j) \). This bound is achieved with equality, since there is a weight-\( d \) codeword in \( \mathcal{C} \) given by a row \( t_i + j \notin \mathcal{F} \) of \( A' \).

The second statement also holds for any \( m \), since if a codeword of \( \mathcal{C} \) has \( u_i = 0 \) for all \( t : \text{wt}(A_i) = d \), then it belongs to a code with the set of frozen symbol indices \( \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \backslash \{ t \text{wt}(A_i) = d \} \), which has minimum distance \( d' > d \), according to the first statement.

The above theorem allows to design constraints on the input symbols of the polarizing transformation, such that most of the LWNZ codewords of a polar code do not satisfy them, obtaining thus a subcode of the polar code with low error coefficient.

### B. Type-A dynamic frozen symbols

To obtain an \((n,k)\) code with good performance under SCL decoding, we need to eliminate low-weight non-zero (LWNZ) codewords from a polar code. This can be done by introducing dynamic freezing constraints (4). To obtain an \((n,k,d)\) code \( \mathcal{C} \), we propose to construct first an \((n,k + f_A, d)\) polar code \( \mathcal{C}' \) (parent code) with constraint matrix \( \mathcal{V} \), and obtain the constraint matrix for code \( \mathcal{C} \) as \( \mathcal{V} = \left( \begin{array}{c} V_A \\ V_{(A)} \end{array} \right) \). Here \( V_{(A)} \) is a \( f_A \times n \) matrix, which defines dynamic freezing constraints, such that most of the LWNZ codewords of the parent code do not satisfy them, so that \( \mathcal{C} \) does not contain these codewords. Let us further define \( \mathcal{F} \) as the set of frozen symbol indices given by \( \mathcal{V} \).

To reduce the probability of the correct path being killed by the SCL decoder at an early phase, these constraints need to be imposed on symbols \( u_{s_i} \), with the smallest possible indices \( s_i \) in such way, so that low-weight codewords are still eliminated. Theorem 1 suggests that this can be done by extending the construction of [5], i.e. by selecting \( s_i \), as \( f_A \) maximal indices, such that \( \text{wt}(A_{s_i}) = d \), where \( d \) is the minimum distance of the parent polar code \( \mathcal{C}' \), and selecting \( V_{i,j}^{(A)}, 0 \leq j < s_i, 0 \leq i < f_A \), as independent random binary values. Furthermore, we set \( V_{i,j}^{(A)} = 1 \), and \( V_{i,j}^{(A)} = 0, j > s_i \). Indeed, such choice of \( s_i \) ensures that for any LWNZ codeword \( \mathcal{C} = u_0^{n-1} A \in \mathcal{C}' \) it is possible to find such values \( V_{i,j}^{(A)} \), so that \( u_0^{n-1} \) does not satisfy (4), i.e. \( \mathcal{C} \notin \mathcal{F} \). For small values of \( f_A \) it may not be possible to eliminate all LWNZ codewords in this way, but the results given in [5] suggest that even for randomized selection of \( V_{(A)} \) the obtained codes provide quite good performance compared to polar codes with CRC and LDPC codes.

However, more careful design is possible. We propose to explicitly enumerate (almost) all non-zero codewords \( c^{(p)} \), \( 0 \leq p < P \), of the parent code with weight up to \( \Delta \geq d \). For polar codes with Arikan’s kernel and \( \Delta = d \), this can be done as described in Section II-B. For codes based on other kernels, LWNZ codewords can be obtained with the algorithm presented in [31]. Let \( u^{(p)} = c^{(p)} A^{-1} \) be the information vectors corresponding to the obtained LWNZ codewords, and let \( \mathcal{P} = \{ c^{(p)} \} \). We say that codeword \( c^{(p)} \) is pruned by constraint \( i \) if

\[
u_{s_i}^{(p)} \neq - \sum_{t < s_i} V_{i,t}^{(A)} u_t^{(p)}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{P}(V_i^{(A)}) \) be the set of codewords pruned from \( \mathcal{P} \) by the constraint given by the \( i \)-th row of \( V^{(A)} \).

Let us set \( \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}(V_i^{(A)}) \), and proceed with construction of the dynamic freezing constraints for the next suitable index \( s_{i+1} < s_i \).

The particular indices \( s_i \) to be considered in the above described elimination process should be as small as possible, so that the SCL decoder can process them at earliest possible phases, reducing thus the probability of the correct path being killed. On the other hand, constraints (4) should involve all symbols \( u_t \) which may give rise to a LWNZ codeword, so that as many as possible such codewords are pruned by each constraint.

Let \( v_0 < v_1 < \ldots \) be distinct weights of rows of \( A \), which correspond to non-frozen symbols in the parent code. For any \( t \) we define \( f(t) \) as the maximal index of a symbol, such that \( \text{wt}(A_{f(t)}) = v_t \), and \( f(t) \) is not yet frozen, i.e. \( f(t) \notin \mathcal{F} \), and \( f(s) \) is not equal to any of the previously selected \( s_i \). If no such symbol exists, we assume \( f(t) = -\infty \). Let us set initially \( \rho = 0 \). We propose to set \( s_i \) as \( f(t) \), provided that \( f(t) \in [n] \), and the number of LWNZ codewords, which can be pruned for this \( s_i \), as described above, is at least \( (\delta - 1)P \), where \( \delta \) is a small value. The latter constraint ensures that no dynamic freezing constraints are imposed on information symbols which give rise to too few LWNZ codewords, and in many cases results in much lower error coefficient of the obtained code. Otherwise, we set \( \rho := \rho + 1 \) and repeat this selection process until \( f_A \) dynamic freezing constraints are constructed.

The parameter \( f_A \) should be selected as the smallest integer, which results in the number of LWNZ codewords in the obtained code to achieve some target value (e.g. 0). The dynamic freezing constraints and the corresponding dynamic frozen symbols obtained in this way are referred to as type-A ones.

**Example 2.** Consider construction of a \((16,7)\) polar sub-code with the Arikan’s kernel for the BEC with erasure probability 0.5. Let \( f_A = 2 \). The corresponding parent \((16,9)\) polar code has frozen set \( \mathcal{F} = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 \} \). It has 44 codewords of weight 4. The corresponding information vectors are shown in Table I. Let \( s_0 = 12 \) be the index of the 0-th dynamic frozen symbol. It can be verified that \( V_0^{(A)} = (01111111111110000) \) prunes 24 LWNZ codewords. The indices of surviving codewords are 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 15, 18, 19, 21, 24, 26, 27, 29, 32, 34, 35, 38, 39. Let \( s_1 = 10 \) be the index of the 1-st dynamic frozen symbol. It can be verified that \( V_1^{(A)} = (00101001011000000) \) prunes 12 codewords. The indices of surviving codewords are 2, 7, 10, 15, 18, 24, 26, 32. Hence, the constraint matrix of the obtained code.

---
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polar subcode is

\[ V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

Observe that it is possible to zero out the columns with indices in \( \mathcal{F} \) in the bottom part of this matrix by performing appropriate row operations.

C. Type-B dynamic frozen symbols

In this section we further refine the construction of polar subcodes by reducing the probability of the SCL decoder killing the correct path at an early phase.

The probability of \( \mathcal{E}(L) \), the event corresponding to the score of the correct path becoming lower than the scores of \( L \) incorrect paths at some intermediate phase of SCL decoding, depends on how fast the scores of incorrect paths decrease after these paths diverge from the correct one. Therefore, we propose to introduce an additional set of dynamic frozen symbols, mapped onto relatively reliable bit subchannels, so that for an incorrect path the values of these symbols would deviate with high probability from those used by the encoder, causing thus the path score to drop.

Let \( C_i \) be the capacity (or some other reliability measure) of bit subchannel \( W_m^{(i)} \), and consider sequence \( r_i : C_{r_0} \leq C_{r_1} \leq \cdots \leq C_{r_{n-1}} \).

It was suggested in [5] to impose non-trivial dynamic freezing constraints on \( f_B \) symbols \( u_{r_i} \), which are going to be transmitted over subchannels with indices \( r_{n-k-f_A-f_B-1}, \ldots, r_{n-k-f_A-f_B} \), i.e., most reliable bit subchannels which would carry static frozen symbols in a classical polar code. Here \( f_B \) is a parameter of the code construction.

Such dynamic freezing constraints are referred to as Type-B ones. Here we show that for a certain class of polarizing transformations this does not decrease the minimum distance.

**Theorem 2.** Consider an \((n, k, d)\) polar subcode \( C \) based on the polarizing matrix \( A = F_{l_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{l_{m-1}} \) with constraint matrix \( V = \begin{pmatrix} V' \\ V''(A) \end{pmatrix} \), such that the kernels \( F_i \) satisfy (6), both \( V' \) and \( V''(A) \) are constraint matrices of some \((n, k', d)\) and \((n, k'', d)\) polar codes, all rows of \( V' \) and \( V''(A) \) have weight \( 1 \), \( s_i \) is the position of the zero non-negative entry in the \( i \)-th row of \( V \), all \( s_i \) are distinct, and \( \text{wt}(A_{s_i}) = d, n-k' \leq i < n-k'' \).

Let \( \widetilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V^{(B)} \\ V^{(A)} \end{pmatrix} \) be a matrix, such that \( \widetilde{V}_{i, t} = V''_{i, s_t} \), \( s_t \leq t < n \), and \( \widetilde{V}_{i, s_t} \leq s_t, n-k' \leq i < n-k'' \), are arbitrary binary values.

Then \( \widetilde{V} \) defines another \((n, k, \widetilde{d})\) polar subcode \( \widetilde{C} \), where \( \widetilde{d} \geq d \).

**Proof:** By construction of \( \widetilde{V} \), the sets of frozen symbol indices for both \( C \) and \( \widetilde{C} \) are identical. Let \( F \) denote this set.

According to [22, Theorem 7], partial distances of matrix \( A \) satisfy \( D_i = \text{wt}(A_i) = \prod_{j=0}^{i} D_{j, j_i} \), where \( A_i \) is the \( i \)-th row of \( A \), and \( i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j \prod_{l=m-j}^{i} l_i \). Given a non-zero codeword \( c = u_0^{n-1} A \) from either \( C \) or \( \widetilde{C} \), let \( t \notin F \) be the position of the first non-zero element in vector \( u_0^{n-1} \), so that \( c \in A_t + S_t \), where \( S_t \) is the linear space generated by \( A_{t+1}, \ldots, A_{n-1} \). The minimum distance between the coset \( A_t + S_t \) and \( S_t \) is equal \( D_t \). Hence, \( \text{wt}(c) \geq D_t \). Since the frozen set \( F \) is identical for \( C \) and \( \widetilde{C} \), one obtains that \( \widetilde{d} \geq d = \min_{t \notin F} D_t \).

**D. Summary of the proposed code construction method**

Here we present a summary of the proposed method for construction of \((n, k)\) polar subcode with \( n \times n \) polarizing matrix \( A \) satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1:

1) Compute the capacities (or other reliability measures) of bit subchannels \( W_m^{(i)} \). Let \( r_0^{n-1} \) be the sequence of subchannel indices in the ascending order of their reliability.

2) Construct parent polar code \( C' \) with the frozen set \( F' = \{ r_0^{n-k-f_A-f_B-1} \} \), where \( f_A \) and \( f_B \) are parameters of the code construction. Let \( V' \) be the corresponding constraint matrix, i.e., \( V'_{i, s} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s = r_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \)

3) Compute minimum distance \( d \) and enumerate (almost) all codewords \( c \) of weight \( d \) in \( C' \). Recall, that for codes with Arkan’s kernel all such codewords can be enumerated explicitly as described in Section II-B, while in a more general case computer search can be used to obtain them. Let \( P \) be the set of such codewords.

4) Construct matrix \( V(B') \), such that \( V'_{i, r_{n-k-f_A-f_B}, t} = 1, V'_{i, j} = 0, j > r_{n-k-f_A-f_B}, 0 \leq i < f_B \), while the remaining elements of \( V(B') \) are selected as independent random binary values. Exclude from \( P \) codewords \( c = uA \), such that \( V(B') u^T \neq 0 \).

If a generic low-weight codeword search algorithm like [31] is used, then it may be easier to construct first a code \( \widetilde{C} \) with constraint matrix \( \widetilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V' \\ V(B') \end{pmatrix} \) and search for LWNZ codewords in \( \widetilde{C} \) instead of \( C' \).

1That is, \( V(B') \) is obtained by replacing some zeroes in \( V''(A) \) with random values.
TABLE II: Number of weight-8 codewords in (1024, 768, ≥ 8) polar subcodes with Arıkan’s kernel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f_A )</th>
<th>parent code</th>
<th>unoptimized subcode</th>
<th>optimized subcode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>41344</td>
<td>41344</td>
<td>41344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>28808</td>
<td>28696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>14380</td>
<td>14108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>7152</td>
<td>6840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>3490</td>
<td>3258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>61824</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70016</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>70016</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>70016</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Let \( v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \ldots \) be distinct weights of rows of \( A \), which correspond to non-frozen symbols in the parent code. Select the indices \( s_i \notin \mathcal{F} \) of type-A DFS as \( f_A \) maximal indices, such that \( \text{wt}(A_{s_i}) = v_j \) for smallest possible \( j \). Construct \( f_A \times n \) matrix \( V'(A) \), such that \( V'_{i,s_i} = 1 \), \( V'_{i,j} = 0, j > s_i \), and for each \( i \) the values \( V'_{i,t} \), \( t < s_i \) are successively selected (e.g. by \( T \) iterations of randomized search) to eliminate as many as possible codewords from \( \mathcal{P} \). Integer \( s_i \) is accepted as a type-A DFS index only if the corresponding constraint results in elimination of at least \( (0.5 - \delta) \cdot 100\% \) of the remaining LWNZ codewords, where \( \delta \) is a small value.

Let \( \widetilde{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V' & V'' \end{pmatrix} \) be the constraint matrix of the obtained polar subcode.

The number of type-A dynamic frozen symbols \( f_A \) can be adjusted depending on the residual number of low-weight codewords after step 5. Unfortunately, there is no simple way (besides simulations) to find an optimal value of the number \( f_B \) of type-B dynamic frozen symbols.

E. Preconditioned polar subcodes

A simple way to improve the minimum distance of polar codes satisfying the constraints of Theorem 1 is to enforce at steps 1 and 2 \( i \in \mathcal{F}' \) for all \( i : \text{wt}(A_{s_i}) < d \) for some integer \( d \). This results in a code with minimum distance at least \( d \). In the case of Arıkan kernel, such codes still satisfy the partial order (1)–(2), and their low-weight codewords can be obtained as described above. However, such polar codes typically have huge error coefficient.

We propose to use such polar codes as parent ones, and employ the above described algorithm to obtain preconditioned polar subcodes with much lower error coefficient and improved performance under near-ML decoding.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Table II presents the number of weight-8 codewords of parent \((1024, 768 + t)\) polar codes and their \((1024, 708)\) subcodes with \( f_A \) type-A and \( f_B = 0 \) type-B dynamic frozen symbols. It can be seen that the error coefficient of parent codes increases very slowly with \( f_A \). This justifies application of type-A dynamic frozen symbols for reduction of the error coefficient. It can be also seen that increasing \( f_A \) results in lower error coefficient for the codes obtained by the proposed optimization procedure.

We present the performance of polar subcodes obtained with the proposed method. Simulations were performed for the case of BPSK modulation and AWGN channel. Decoding was done by the sequential algorithm [6], [28], with at most \( L \) visits per phase (equivalent to list size in [2]).

Figure 2 presents the performance of \((1024, 768)\) polar subcodes with Arıkan’s kernel. The codes were constructed for \( E_b/N_0 = 3 \) dB. We report the performance of unoptimized randomized polar subcodes [5], and the codes obtained with the proposed code construction algorithm with \( T = 1000 \). For comparison, we report also the performance of polar codes with CRC, as well as a polar subcode of the extended BCH code with minimum distance 12 [4]. CRC-\( f_A \) can be considered as an alternative way to select a quasi-random linear subcode of the parent \((n, k + f_A, \delta)\) polar code, so that most of the LWNZ codewords are eliminated. For some codes we report a lower bound on the maximum likelihood decoding error probability, which is obtained as the fraction of events corresponding to the codeword obtained by the decoder being closer to the received vector than the actual transmitted codeword.

It can be seen that the proposed optimized polar subcode with \( f_A = 11 \) provides 0.5 dB performance gain with respect to the unoptimized one. It also far outperforms polar code with CRC and polar subcode of the extended BCH code. Observe that type-B dynamic frozen symbols provide significant performance improvement for large \( L \). Even larger performance gain can be obtained by setting \( f_A = 16 \). However, for small \( L \) at low SNR polar subcode with \( f_A = 16 \) has inferior performance compared to the one obtained for \( f_A = 11 \). Increasing the value of \( f_A \) results in much more significant performance penalty at low SNR for polar codes with CRC.

It can be also seen that at high SNR the performance of the sequential decoder with \( L = 32 \) is almost the same as in the case of \( L = 4096 \).

Table III presents the minimum distance and error coefficient of the considered codes. Entries \( \geq w \) were obtained
by the algorithm in [31], which was allowed to run for $10^7$ iterations. It can be seen that the proposed approach allows construction of codes with minimum distance $d = 12$ with much smaller error coefficient compared to the BCH-based construction, as well as compared to polar codes with CRC. Furthermore, type-B dynamic frozen symbols indeed provide some reduction of the error coefficient. This explains the performance gain reported in Figure 2.

Figure 3 presents the performance of preconditioned polar subcodes. These codes have inferior performance at low SNR compared to the case of $\tilde{F}$ constructed solely based on subchannel reliability\(^2\). This is compensated by 0.4 dB gain with respect to the non-preconditioned code at $FER = 2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ for $L = 4096$. The total gain with respect to the code obtained without preconditioning and optimization is approximately 0.7 dB. Observe also that the proposed preconditioned codes with design distance $d = 16$ outperform a polar subcode of the extended BCH code with the same design distance, as well as the codes obtained without preconditioning (these codes have minimum distance $d = 12$). However, for $L = 32$ the preconditioned polar subcode exhibits huge performance loss compared to the code without preconditioning. It can be also seen from Table III that with $f_A = 10$ type-A dynamic frozen symbols the proposed optimization method allows one to reduce the error coefficient only by 3% for $f_B = 0$ and approximately by 12% for $f_B = 54$. This results in a marginal performance gain for the proposed codes, although the sequential decoder with $L = 4096$ still does not provide maximum likelihood decoding of the preconditioned codes.

Polar codes were shown in [32] not to have an error floor. This result was obtained for the case of the SC decoding algorithm. However, the results shown in Figure 3 clearly show that improved versions of polar codes, such as polar codes with CRC and polar subcodes, may exhibit an error floor under list successive cancellation or sequential decoding, although their performance is much better compared to polar codes with the same parameters. Indeed, the slope of the FER curves for the polar code with CRC and polar subcode with $d = 12$ is substantially different at $E_b/N_0$ values 2.8 dB and 3.6 dB. The reason for this apparent contradiction is that the SC algorithm is very far from maximum likelihood decoding, especially at low SNR. List/sequential SC decoding may provide substantially better performance in this region. On the other hand, in the high SNR region the slope of the FER curve depends on the minimum distance of the code, which is still given by $O(\sqrt{n})$, even for improved code constructions. However, the proposed code construction, especially the preconditioning method, may substantially reduce the error coefficient, and in some cases increase (non-asymptotically) the minimum distance of the obtained codes, and therefore change the location of the error floor.

Figure 4 presents the performance of rate-1/2 codes. The results are pretty much similar to those obtained for rate 3/4 codes, i.e. optimized polar subcodes far outperform non-optimized ones. Optimized polar subcodes without precondi-
tioning were found to have minimum distance \(d = 24\). Due to lack of an analytical tool for enumeration of codewords of an Arıkan polar code of weight more than its minimum distance, the number of weight-24 codewords in the obtained polar subcode with \(f_A = 16\), \(f_B = 48\) could not be optimized. It was found to be equal to \(w_{24} \approx 347\). This is still less than the error coefficient of the polar code with CRC-16 (\(w_{24} \approx 717\)). However, the latter code performs slightly better at high SNR. This should be attributed to the differences in higher-order components of weight spectrum.

At high SNR the best performance is provided by the preconditioned polar subcode, which has minimum distance \(d = 32\) than all other considered codes. Even \(L = 16384\) is not sufficient to implement near-ML decoding of the preconditioned code. However, increasing \(L\) from 4096 to 16384 provides 0.1 dB performance gain, while the average sequential decoding complexity in the latter case is still \(3.98 \cdot 10^4\) operations at \(E_b/N_0 = 2.4\) dB. This is quite close to the decoding complexity of the non-preconditioned optimized code, which is equal to \(1.91 \cdot 10^4\), \(1.89 \cdot 10^4\) and \(1.62 \cdot 10^4\) for \(L = 16384, 4096, 32\), respectively. Observe for the non-preconditioned code for \(L = 4096\) all observed error events at this SNR are ML decoding errors, so this code cannot benefit from \(L > 4096\).

Figure 5 illustrates the average complexity (i.e. number of summation and comparison operations) of the sequential decoding algorithm for the considered codes. It can be seen that for \(E_b/N_0 \geq 3.5\) dB, where the proposed codes start to outperform non-optimized ones, the average decoding complexity is very close to \(n \log_2 n\) operations even for \(L = 4096\). Furthermore, introducing type-B dynamic frozen symbols (i.e. setting \(f_B > 0\)) results in reduced decoding complexity at low \(E_b/N_0\). The decoding complexity of a polar code with CRC is very close to that of polar subcodes with \(f_B = 0\). Preconditioned code has somewhat higher decoding complexity compared to the case of \(F^*\) obtained solely based on subchannel reliability, but at high SNR its decoding complexity converges to the same value as for the case of non-preconditioned codes.

Hence, one can exploit excellent performance of the proposed codes at high SNR with very small decoding complexity. This comes at the expense of large memory requirements and high decoder latency. These problems were addressed for the case of SCL-like decoding in [33].

Figure 6 illustrates the performance of polar (sub)codes with various kernels under SCL decoding. Here \((l_0^{(A)}, l_1^{(A)}, k)\) denotes a \(k\)-dimensional code based on the polarizing transformation \(A = F_{l_0} \otimes F_{l_1} \otimes F_{l_2}\), and \(F_{l_1}\) is a \(l_1 \times l_1\) kernel. \(F_{l_1}\) and \(F_{l_2}\) are the kernels with scaling exponents 3.45 and 3.20, having rate of polarization 0.518 and 0.529, respectively, reported in [12]. The proposed optimization method results in up to 0.2 dB gain compared to a randomized construction without optimized selection of \(V^{(A)}\). Observe that the obtained (1024, 512) code outperforms the polar subcode of an extended BCH code [4], where low-weight codewords are eliminated algebraically. It can be also seen that the mixed-kernel (2048, 1024) polar subcode outperforms the one with Arıkan’s kernel only, while the SCL decoding complexity of these codes is \(10^9\) and \(2 \cdot 10^6\) operations, respectively.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a method for construction of polar subcodes with reduced error coefficient was presented. The obtained codes were shown to provide at high SNR substantially better performance compared to unoptimized randomized polar subcodes, polar subcodes of extended BCH codes, and polar codes with CRC.

The proposed code construction method relies on enumeration of low-weight codewords in a parent polar code. This can be done analytically for the case of codes with Arkan’s kernel, but requires expensive computer search for other kernels. Explicit characterization of the set of their low-weight codewords would enable faster and more accurate construction of polar subcodes with such kernels.

The proposed codes provide the most significant performance gain in the high SNR region. By employing the sequential decoding algorithm, this gain can be obtained with decoding complexity close to that of plain successive cancellation algorithm.
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